EXHIBIT B

United States District Court

Southern	DISTRICT OF	New York	
BYRON ACOSTA			
	SUMMO	IS IN A CIVIL CASE	
V.	CASE NUM 07CV1552	IBER: (AKH)	
BANKERS TRUST COMPANY, ET. AL.,			
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
TO: (Name and address of defendant)			
SEE ATTACHED RIDER			
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and re	equired to serve upon PLAINTIF	F'S ATTORNEY (name and address)	
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN of 115 Broadway, 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-267-3700	& NAPOLI BERN, LLP		
n answer to the complaint which is herewith serve ummons upon you, exclusive of the day of ser ne relief demanded in the complaint. You mus eriod of time after service.	vice. If you fail to do so, judgn	30 days after service of nent by default will betaken against you ne Clerk of this Court within a reasona	for
I MICHARY NO RELEVON			
J. MICHAEL McMAHON			
CLERK	DATE		
(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	············		

AO 4	40 (Rev 10/93) Summons in a Civil Actio	on - SDNY WEB 4/99		
		RETURN OF S		
	Service of the Summons and Complaint was made by me ¹ VAME OF SERVER (PRINT) TITLE			
	• •			
	Check one box below to indicate appropriate method of service Served personally upon the defendant. Place where served:			
	Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left:			
	Returned unexecuted:			
	Other (specify):			
		OTATEMENT OF O		
TRAVE	L	STATEMENT OF S	EKVIUE FEES	TOTAL
	_		0	
		DECLARATION	OF SERVER	
	foregoing information column and correct.	enalty of perjury under t ntained in the Return o	he laws of the Uni of Service and Sta	ited States of America that the tement of Service Fees is true
	Executed on			
	Date		Signature of Server	
			Address of Server	
(1)	As to who may serve a summons se	ee Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of	Civil Procedure	

RIDER

BYRON ACOSTA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

BANKERS TRUST COMPANY, BT PRIVATE CLIENTS CORP., DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY, DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS, DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST CORPORATION, HILLMAN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, LLC., THE BANK OF NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY NA, TISHMAN INTERIORS CORPORATION, VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., VERIZON NEW YORK, INC, AND VERIZON PROPERTIES, INC., ET AL

Defendants.

 \mathbf{X}

Defendants' Addresses:

BANKERS TRUST COMPANY C/O Real Estate Management Office 529 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10017

BT Private Clients Corp. 280 Park Ave, 6W New York, NY 10017-1216

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY AMERICAS 60 Wall Street NEW YORK, NY 10005

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY 60 Wall Street New York, NY 10005-2858

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST CORPORATION 60 WALL ST NEW YORK, NY 10005

HILLMAN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, LLC. 1600 Route 22 East Union, NJ 07083

THE BANK OF NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY NA One Wall Street New York, NY 10286

Tishman Interiors Corporation 666 5th Avenue New York, NY 10103

Verizon Communications, Inc. 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

VERIZON NEW YORK, INC 1095 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10001

VERIZON PROPERTIES, INC. One Verizon Way Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE LOWER MANHATTAN DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 102 (AKH)
BYRON ACOSTA Plaintiffs, - against -	DOCKET NO. <u>07CV1552</u> COMPLAINT BY ADOPTION (CHECK-OFF COMPLAINT) RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
Ü	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
(SEE SECTION IV., PARTIES, WITHIN)	
Defendants.	

By Case Management Order Number 3, of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated March 21, 2007, ("the Order"), a Master Complaint for all Plaintiffs is to be filed by June 1, 2007. In conjunction with said filing, the within pro-forma Complaint by Adoption (Check-Off) Complaint is also to be filed by June 1, 2007.

I. INTRODUCTION

NOTICE OF ADOPTION

A Plaintiff-Specific Complaint by Adoption (Check-Off Complaint), in the within format, is to be filed by each Plaintiff, and to be utilized and read in conjunction with the Master Complaint on file with the Court. Where applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), specific paragraphs are to be marked with an "Image: "and specific case information is to be set forth, inserting said information in the blank space, if provided. If Plaintiff wishes to assert additional allegations, such may be done by annexing separate labeled Riders, maintaining the same section headings and checking the "Other" box in the appropriate section of the Check-Off complaint.

Plaintiffs, as captioned above, by his/her/their attorneys, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

- 1. All headings, paragraphs, allegations and Causes of Action in the entire Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein, in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are included below or annexed in a rider.
- 2. Plaintiffs adopt those allegations as set forth in the Master Complaint Section I, Introduction Notice of Adoption.

II.

JURISDICTION

- 23. Plaintiffs adopt those allegations as set forth in the Master Complaint Section II, Jurisdiction.
- 4. The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is: Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction specifically
 - 4A. Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or)
 - 4B. Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or)
 - 4C. Other if an individual plaintiff is alleging a basis of jurisdiction not stated above, plaintiff should check this box, and attach a Rider. Individual plaintiff should then immediately notify Plaintiff Liaison by email and in writing, and request an amendment to the Master Pleadings and the Check-Off Complaint pursuant to the applicable CMO governing said amendment. (if checked attach Rider)
 - ☑ 4D. Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

III.

VENUE

5. Plaintiffs adopt those allegations as set forth in the Master Complaint Section III, Venue.

IV. PARTIES

Z 6.	Plaintiffs adopt those allegations as set forth in the Master Complaint Section IV, Parties.
2 7.	THE INJURED PLAINTIFF'S NAME IS (referencing the individual specifically
	injured/deceased, hereinafter referred to as "Decedent Plaintiff"): BYRON ACOSTA
2 8.	THE INJURED PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS IS: 4125 Bergen Turnpike, North Bergen, NJ, 07047
□9.	THE REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF'S NAME IS (if "Injured Plaintiff" is deceased):
	(hereinafter referred to as the "Representative Plaintiff")
□ 10.	THE REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS IS (if "Injured Plaintiff" is deceased):
□ 11.	THE REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF (if "Injured Plaintiff" is deceased) was appointed as
	Administrator of the Goods, Chattels and Credits which were of the "Injured Plaintiff" on
	, by the Surrogate Court, County of, State of New York.
□ 12.	THE REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF (if "Injured Plaintiff" is deceased) was appointed as
	Executor of the Estate of the "Injured Plaintiff" on, by the Surrogate Court,
	County of, State of New York.
□ 13.	THE DERIVATIVE PLAINTIFF'S NAME: (hereinafter referred to as the "Derivative Plaintiff"
	and if deceased, hereinafter referred to as "Decedent Derivative Plaintiff")
□ 14.	THE DERIVATIVE PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS:
<u> </u>	THE REPRESENTATIVE DERIVATIVE PLAINTIFF'S NAME: (if "Derivative Plaintiff" is
	deceased)
☐ 16.	THE REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF'S DERIVATIVE ADDRESS (if "Derivative Plaintiff"
	is deceased):
<u> </u>	THE REPRESENTATIVE DERIVATIVE PLAINTIFF was appointed as Administrator of the
	Goods, Chattels and Credits which were of the "Derivative Plaintiff" on

	, by the Surrogate Court, County of, State of New
	York.
<u> </u>	THE REPRESENTATIVE DERIVATIVE PLAINTIFF was appointed as Executor of the Estate
	of the "Derivative Plaintiff" on, by the Surrogate
	Court, County of, State of New York.
□ 19.	Injured Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is an individual and a resident of the State of New York
	residing at the aforementioned address.
2 0.	Injured Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is an individual and a resident of (if other than New York)
	NJ, and resides at the aforementioned address.
□ ₂₁ .	Representative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is a resident of the State of New York, residing at
	the aforementioned address.
□ 22.	Representative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is an individual and a resident of (if other than New
	York), and resides at the aforementioned address.
□23.	Representative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, brings this claim in his/her representative capacity,
	as aforementioned on behalf of the Estate of the Decedent Plaintiff.
□ 24.	Derivative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is a resident of the State of New York, residing at the
	aforementioned address.
□ 25.	Derivative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is an individual and a resident of (if other than New
	York), and resides at the aforementioned address.
<u> </u>	Representative Derivative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is a resident of the State of New York,
	residing at the aforementioned address.
<u> </u>	Representative Derivative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, is an individual and a resident of (if other
	than New York), and resides at the aforementioned address.
☐ 28.	Representative Derivative Plaintiff, as aforementioned, brings this claim in his/her representative
	capacity, as aforementioned, on behalf of the Estate of the Derivative Plaintiff.

□ 29.	The Derivative Plaintiff and or the Representative Derivative Plaintiff in his or her representative
	capacity on behalf of the estate of the Decedent Derivative Plaintiff was the:

SPOUSE at all relevant times herein, was lawfully married to Plaintiff, and brings this derivative action for her/his loss due to the injuries sustained by her husband/his wife, Injured Plaintiff.

b. Other: (If checked, attach Rider)

Instructions: To the extent that plaintiff has specificity as to the area within the building/location listed, such should be indicated on a separate line. If plaintiff is unable at this time to enunciate such specificity at this time, the applicable column should be marked with an " (See Sample Chart below)

Each sub-paragraph shall be deemed to allege: "The Injured Plaintiff at times relevant to the claims herein, worked at (address/location) for the following (dates of employment), while in the employ of (name of employer), maintaining the position of (job title) and worked at said location for approximately (hours).i.e. The Injured Plaintiff at times relevant to the claims herein, worked at 500 Broadway, for the following dates, 10/1/01-6/1/02, while in the employ of ABC Corp, maintaining the position of cleaner and worked at said location for approximately 20 hours.

230. The Injured Plaintiff worked at the address/location for following dates of employment, for the employer, in the job title of, and for the number of hours, as specified below.

Sample Chart

		ADDRESS/ LOCATION	NON- SPECIFIC LOCATION	DATES OF EMPLOYMENT	NAME OF EMPLOYER	JOB TITLE	HOURS WORKED	Percent Of Total
Ø	30a	*500 Broadway	X	10/1/01-6/1/02	ABC CORP.	CLEANER	20	50
Ø	30b	1600 Broadway (lobby)		11/1/01-11/15/01	ABC CORP.	CLEANER	10	25
	30c	1600 Broadway Fl 10-15		12/15/01- 12/16/01	XYZ Corp.	CLEANER	10	25
]				T-4-1 T	T 3371 1	. 40		

Total Hours Worked:

		ADDRESS/LOCATION	DATES OF EMPLOYMENT	NAME OF EMPLOYER	JOB TITLE	HOURS WORKED	Percent Of Total
∇	30a.	Deutsche Bank Building	09/14/2001-11/15/2001	LVI Environmental Services, Inc.	Handler	496	50%
Ø	30b.	Verizon Building	09/14/2001-11/15/2001			496	50%
	30c.			Same As Above	Same As Above		
	30d.						
	30e.						
	30f.						
	30g.						
	30h.						
	30i.						
	30j.						
	30k.						
	301.						
	30m.						
	30n.						
	30o.						
	30p.						
	30q.						
	30r.						
	30s.						
		Other (if checked, atta	ch Rider and continue w	rith same format for s	sub-divisions)		
	The p	laintiff worked for the total	number of hours as indic	cated below:			
	✓ 30t	•		Total Hours Worked	d: 992		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

- 231. The Injured Plaintiff was exposed to and breathed noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated above, unless otherwise specified. 23. The Injured Plaintiff was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above, unless otherwise specified 233. The Injured Plaintiff was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at the site(s) indicated above, unless otherwise specified Other: (if checked, attach Rider) ☑ 34. The Plaintiff, and/or if also applicable to derivative plaintiff also, check here ☑, or his/or representative, has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Therefore, pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. ☐ 35. The Plaintiff and/or if also applicable to derivative plaintiff also, check here ☐, or his/or representative, has made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, which claim was not deemed "substantially complete." The plaintiff therefore has not waived the "right to file a civil action (or be party to an action) in any Federal or State court for damages sustained as a result of the terrorist aircraft crashes of September 11,2001, except for civil actions to recover collateral source obligations." 49 U.S.C. 40101 at § 405 (c)(3)(B). 236. The allegations in the body of the Master Complaint, and any additional allegations made in this Plaintiff-Specific Complaint by Adoption, are asserted as against each defendant as checked off below and/or/as added to a Rider to this Plaintiff-Specific Complaint by Adoption, in the same format as appears herein, with any additional allegations as are deemed appropriate. 37. The specific Defendants alleged relationship to the property, as indicated below or as otherwise the evidence may disclose, or their role with relationship to the work thereat, gives rise to
- liability under the causes of actions alleged, as referenced in the Master Complaint. Instruction: The Defendant(s) names in the Master Complaint are re-stated below. The Defendant's are listed by reference to the building and/or location at which this specific plaintiff

alleges to have worked. Each sub-paragraph shall be deemed to allege: "With reference to (address), the defendant (entity) was a and/or the (relationship) of and/or at the subject property and/or in such relationship as the evidence may disclose," (i.e. With reference to 4 Albany Street, defendant Bankers Trust Company, was the owner of the subject project and/or in such relationship as the evidence may disclose)

- 38. With reference to (address as checked below), the defendant (entity as checked below) was a and/or the (relationship as indicated below) of and/or at the subject property and/or in such relationship as the evidence may disclose.
- (38-47) 130 LIBERTY STREET (DEUTSCHE BANK BUILDING)
 - A. DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST CORPORATION (OWNER)
 - B. DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY (OWNER)
 - C. BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION (OWNER)
 - DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY
 AMERICAS (OWNER)
 - E. THE BANK OF NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY NA (OWNER)
 - F. BT PRIVATE CLIENTS CORP. (OWNER)
 - G. TISHMAN INTERIORS CORPORATION (CONTRACTOR)

- G. TULLY CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. (CONTRACTOR)
- I. TULLY INDUSTRIES (CONTRACTOR)

 (38-91) 140 WEST STREET (VERIZON BUILDING)
 - A VERIZON NEW YORK, INC. (OWNER)
 - B. VERIZON PROPERTIES, INC. (OWNER)
 - C. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (OWNER)
 - D. HILLMAN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, LLC. (OWNER'S AGENT/CONTRACTOR)

OTHER: if an individual plaintiff is alleging injury sustained at a building/location other than as above, and/or if an individual plaintiff is alleging an injury sustained at a building/location above, but is alleging a claim against a defendant not listed for said building, plaintiff should check this box, and attach a Rider. Individual plaintiff should then immediately notify Plaintiff Liaison by email and in writing, and request an amendment to the Master Pleadings and the Check-Off Complaint pursuant to the applicable CMO governing said amendment.

V – VIII.


CAUSES OF ACTION

- 239. Plaintiffs adopt those allegations as set forth in the Master Complaint Section V-VIII, Causes of Action.
- 40. Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:
 - **2** 40 A. Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including § 200
 - 40 B. Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)
 - ☐ 40 C. Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a
 - 40 D. Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e
 - **2** 40 E. Common Law Negligence
 - □ 40 F. Wrongful Death
 - □ 40 G. Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative **Plaintiff**
 - 40 H. Other: if an individual plaintiff is alleging an additional cause of action or additional substantive law or theory of law upon which his/or claim is based, other than as appears in this section, plaintiff should check this box, and attach a Rider. Individual plaintiff should then immediately notify Plaintiff Liaison by email and in writing, and request an amendment to the Master Pleadings and the Check-Off Complaint pursuant to the applicable CMO governing said amendment. (if checked, attach Rider)

□ 41.	. As to the following municipal entities or	public authorities, a Notice of Claim pursuant to the
	applicable statutes as referenced within	the Master Complaint, has been timely served on the
	following dates.	
Name o	of Municipal Entity or Public Authority	Date Notice of Claim Served
o	OTHER: If a Notice of Claim was filed aga	ninst additional entities check box and attach rider
□ 42.	. As to certain municipal entities or public	authorities, if specified as defendants herein, with
referen	nce to the service of a Notice of Claim: an	application has been made to the
	, as to:	
	42A. to deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs')	Notice of Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to
	grant Plaintiff(s) leave to file a	late Notice of Claim Nunc Pro Tunc, and for leave to
	file a late Notice of Claim Nun	c Pro Tunc has been filed and:
	42B. a determination is pending	
	☐ 42C. an Order granting petition was	made on:
	42D. an Order denying petition was a	made on:
✓ 43.	As a direct and proximate result of defen	dant's culpable actions in the clean-up, construction,
	demolition, excavation, and/or repair ope	erations and all work performed at the premises, the
	Injured Plaintiff sustained the following	injuries including, but not limited to:
	Abdominal	
	Abdominal Pain	
□ 43-1	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this i	njury to WTC work:
	Cancer	
	Fear of Cancer Date of onset:12/01/2003	
✓ 43-2		njury to WTC work: To Be Supplied

□ 43-3	Tumor (of the Brain) Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
□ 43-4	Leukemia Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
□ 43-5	Lung Cancer Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
□ 43-6	Lymphoma Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Circulatory
4 3-7	Hypertension Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Death
□43-8	Death: Date of death: If autopsy performed, date
	Digestive
Z 43-9	Gastric Reflux Date of onset: To Be Supplied Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To Be Supplied
□ 43-10	Indigestion Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
4 3-11	Nausea Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Pulmonary
J 43-12	Asthma Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
1 43-13	Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
□ 43-14	Chronic Restrictive Lung Disease

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
□ 43-15	Chronic Bronchitis Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
2 43-16	Chronic Cough Date of onset: To Be Supplied Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To Be Supplied
□ 43-17	Pulmonary Fibrosis Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
43-18	Pulmonary Nodules Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
4 3-19	Shortness of Breath Date of onset: <u>To Be Supplied</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To Be Supplied</u>
4 3-20	Sinusitis Date of onset: To Be Supplied Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To Be Supplied
	Skin
43-21	Burns Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
✓ 43-22	Dermatitis Date of onset: To Be Supplied Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To Be Supplied
4 3-23	Sleep Disorder Insomnia Date of onset: To Be Supplied Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To Be Supplied
	Other
2 43-24	Other: Chest Pain Date of onset: To Be Supplied Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To Be Supplied
☐ If additi	onal injuries are alleged, check here and attach Rider continuing with the same format for
sub-paragra	



X. JURY TRIAL DEMAND

47. Plaintiffs adopt those allegations as set forth in the Master Complaint Section X, Jury Trial Demand.

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX /BOXES BELOW IF RIDER ANNEXED

OTHER ALLEGATIONS: Check this box if additional allegations are added to this Check-Off Complaint in a Rider. All such additional allegations shall be annexed to this document and titled Rider to Check-Off Complaint and shall reference Plaintiff's name and case number, maintaining the same section headings, and format as appear herein.

OTHER DEFENDANTS OR OTHER LOCATIONS/BUILDINGS: Check this box if additional defendants and/or additional locations/building have been added. If checking this box, check "OTHER ALLEGATIONS", as well.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Dated: New York, New York May 25, 2007

Yours, etc.

By: Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466) Worby Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 115 Broadway 12th Floor New York, NY 10006 Tel: (212) 267-3700

Fax: (212) 587-0031

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
May 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docke	et No:
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	Byron Acosta,
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	SEE ATTACHED RIDER.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. judges of the within named Court, at M. Dated, Yours, etc.,
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP